

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2263 of 2018

=====

M/s. Maharaja Zarda Factory, Industrial Area Bela, (M.I.C.) Muzaffarpur Industrial Complex, Bela, P.O. and P.S. Bela, district- Muzaffarpur through its partner Asranul Yaquin.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Industrial Department, Bihar, Patna, Namely Dr. S. Siddharth son of not known to the petitioner
2. The Principal Secretary, Industrial Department, Bihar, Patna namely Dr. S. Siddharth, son of not known to the petitioner.
3. Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan P.O. and P.S.- Gandhi Maidan Patna through its Managing Director, namely Shri R S Shrivastava, Son of not known to the petitioner.
4. Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan P.O. and P.S. Gandhi Maidan, patna, namely Shri R S Shrivastava Son of not known to the petitioner.
5. The Executive Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan P.O. and P.S. Gandhi Maidan, Patna, namely Shri Bhogendra Lal, son of not known to the petitioner.
6. Development Officer, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Regional Office, Muzaffarpur Industrial Complex, Bela, P.O. and P.S. Bela, district Muzaffarpur namely Sri Deepak Kumar Son of not known to the petitioner.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Anshuman Singh, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Subhash Prasad Singh, GA -3
		Mr. Indeshwari Prasad Mandal, AC to GA-3
For the BIADA	:	Mr. Girijish Kumar, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-02-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for initiating a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for willful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 15.10.2015 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 4702 of 2011.

3. A show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite parties Annexure-D whereof being an order dated 05.03.2018 discloses that a



final decision has been taken by the authorities and thus it is submitted that the order of this Court stands complied with.

4. In the above view of the matter, MJC No. 2263 of 2018 stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case it is aggrieved by the order of the authorities as noticed above, it may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

5. It is made clear that in case such an application is filed, the concerned authority would have regard to the present proceeding being pursued by the petitioner, while considering any issue relating to condonation of delay, if applicable.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	08.02.2019
Transmission Date	N.A.

