

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11148 of 2019

Indu Prakash Charitable Trust Hajipur (Vaishali) through its Chairman namely Ranjeet Kumar (Male), aged about 32 years, Son of Umesh Mishra, Resident of Chhoti Marai, Hajipur, P.S.-Hajipur Industrial Area, District-Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. All India Council for Technical Education Head Quarter, Nelson Mandela Road, Vasantkunj, New Delhi 110070 through its Member Secretary
2. The Member Secretary All India Council for Technical Education, Nelson Mandela Road, Vasantkunj, New Delhi 110070
3. The Chairman All India Council for Technical Education, Head Quarter, Nelson Mandela Road, Vasantkunj, New Delhi 110070
4. All India Council for Technical Education Northern Regional Office, Government Polytechnic Campus, Adjoining Directorate of Technical Education, Vikas Nagar, Kanpur-208002 through its Regional Officer
5. The Regional Officer All India Council for Technical Education, Northern Regional Office, Government Polytechnic Campus, Adjoining Directorate of Technical Education, Vikas Nagar, Kanpur-208002

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For AICT : Mr. Kumar Brijnandan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 26-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the other respondents.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present application is rejection of grant of permission for conducting M.B.A. and M.C.A. courses in the institution.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the institution in question has rectified the deficiency and is now



fully compliant of A.I.C.T. norms.

The claim of the petitioner is subject to inspection and verification of A.I.C.T. and, since the A.I.C.T. on inspection has found certain issues and deficiencies and, on that ground, rejected the application for grant of permission, no indulgence is permissible so far as the current academic session is concerned. After the decision of the A.I.C.T. in appeal the petitioner has preferred the present application hence there is no scope for indulgence.

The Court is of the considered view that the petitioner claim as to full compliant of A.I.C.T. norms, requires inspection and verification by the A.I.C.T., for that the petitioner institution is required to pay the requisite fee and submit application in the prescribed format within 15 days from today and thereafter, the A.I.C.T. shall carry inspection to ascertain the infrastructure and other facilities available in the institution in question for the purpose of grant of permission for next academic session within a period of one month from the date of deposit of requisite fee and the application, thereafter, shall take appropriate decision, with regard to the claim of the petitioner for grant of permission to conduct M.B.A. and M.C.A. courses for the next academic session, within a period of two months from the date of conduct of inspection.



With the aforesaid, the present writ application stands
disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

