

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13607 of 2018

-
1. Dhirendra Prasad Ray, son of Shobhendra Nath Ray Resident of Village Gutheli, P.O. Arihana, P.S. Azamnagar, District Katihar.
 2. Sohan Kumar Ray Son of Bahadur Ray Resident of Village - Khari, P.O. Baliabelen, P.S. Azamnagar, District - Katihar.
 3. Pradip Kumar Ray Son of Kaalu Ray Resident of Village - Khari, P.O. Baliabelen, P.S. Azamnagar, District - Katihar.
 4. Doman Rishi Son of Bilash Rishi Resident of Village - Jhilli Para, Palsa, P.O. Palsa, P.S. Azamnagar, District - Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. State Project Director, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Katihar.
4. The District Programme Officer, Katihar.
5. District Education Officer, Katihar.
6. Block Education Officer, Azamnagar, District - Katihar.
7. Block Education Officer, Kadma, District - Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Madhukar Mishra, AC to SC-16

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-07-2019 The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is non-payment of remuneration.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have regularly worked as Tola Sevak but they have not been paid remuneration from July, 2012 to may 2014 so far as petitioner nos. 1 to 3 are concerned and arrears of remuneration from November, 2011 to May, 2014 to petitioner



No. 4.

The issue of payment of remuneration requires verification of the record and as such the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-District Programme Officer (Literacy) to verify the records to ascertain whether the petitioners have worked as Tola Sevak or not for the period they are claiming salary. In case it is found that they have actually worked, the respondents shall ensure payment of salary for the period the petitioners have actually worked. Necessary decision in this regard must be taken by the respondents at the earliest preferably within a maximum period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

