

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37260 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2016 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

VIJAY KUMAR KARN @ VIJAY KARN, S/o Suresh Lal Das, Resident of
Professor Colony, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N. K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
		Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 24-07-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Sessions Trail No.14 of 2019**
arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No.186 of 2016 instituted for
the offence under Section(s) 307, 498-A, 304-B Indian Penal
Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act pending in
the Court of the **Sessions Judge, Purnea.**

In the written report, it is alleged that sister of the
informant was tortured in her Sasural for demand of dowry. The
in-laws executed a bond for good behaviour with his sister. On
11.06.2016 at about 4.30 AM father of the informant got
information on mobile that petitioner and in-laws of his
daughter have tried to set her on fire and she is in hospital. The
informant and his other family members came to matrimonial
house of his sister at Rambagh. They got information that her



husband and other family members have caused burn injuries by sprinkling kerosene oil and shifted her somewhere.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that being husband he took care for treatment of the deceased. Various medical prescriptions have been enclosed in the case diary.

This Court finds from the allegation in the written report that the girl died on account of burn injuries in her Sasural. It is also mentioned in the written report that earlier bond was executed by this petitioner and other accused that they would not misbehave with the deceased, but she was tortured and, finally, died due to burn injuries.

In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail is **rejected at this stage.**

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and make efforts to dispose off the same within nine months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the event trial is not concluded within aforesaid period.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

J. Alam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

