

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3979 of 2018

=====

Kaushal Kishore Singh, aged about 59 years, Son of Rajendra Prasad Singh,
NY-118/D, New Yarpur, Janta Road, Jhunjhun Mahal, Professor Colony
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors.
2. The Principle Secretary, Industry Department, Government of Bihar Patna.
3. The Director, Technical Development Directorate, Industry Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Directorate Industry Department, Bihar Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3992 of 2018

=====

Prem Chand Roy, Son of late Deep Narayan, resident of Mohalla- Saristabad,
East Tola, P.S.-Gardhanibagh, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Industry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Technical Development Directorate, Industry Department
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Directorate Industry Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3979 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Dayal, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kinkar Kumar, SC-9

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3992 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Dayal, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Abbas Haider, SC-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 11-03-2019



It has been brought to the notice of this Court that during the pendency of the present writ petitions, the services of four persons including the petitioners have been regularized, but from the date of issuance of the order of regularization and not from the date of their initial appointment, which privilege has been given to the other similarly situated employees.

2. This Court had asked for the affidavit of the State, indicating the reasons for the differential treatment between the petitioners and others whose services have been regularized from the date of their initial appointment. However, because of some communication gap, the same could not be filed.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are willing to represent their cases before the Director, Directorate of Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna (respondent No. 4), detailing their grievances, who be directed to dispose off the representations of the petitioners within a specified time frame.



4. The aforesaid prayer made on behalf of the petitioners appears to be logical and rational.

5. The petitioners are directed to make representations before the Director, Directorate of Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna (respondent No. 4), seeking regularization of their services from the date of their initial appointment, within a period of four weeks from today. Should such representations be filed and pressed before respondent No. 4, the same shall be disposed off within a further period of six weeks thereafter, by a reasoned order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petitions stand disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	12.03.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

