

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.369 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.656 of 1993

-
-
1. Kaushaliya Devi Widow of Guneshwar Pd. Yadav deceased
 2. Dinesh Yadav,
 3. Yougendra Yadav,
 4. Ravindra Yadav,
All Sons of Late Guneshwar Pd. Yadav (deceased),
All residents of village and P.O. Maranga, Police Station- Khajanchi Hat,
District- Purnea.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Additional Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Collector, Purnea.
4. The Deputy Collector, Incharge Land Reforms Sadar, Purnea.
- 5.(a). Manoj Kumar Yadav Son of Dilip Pd. Yadav.
(b). Rumi Kumari, D/o Dilip Pd. Yadav
(c). Juli Kumari, D/o Dilip Pd. Yadav.
6. Awdhesh Prasad Yadav.
7. Dinesh Prasad Yadav,
8. Ramesh Prasad Yadav.
9. Nand Lal Yadav
10. Pawan Lal Yadav
11. Revti Devi
12. Basundhra Devi
serial No. 5 to 12 all sons and daughters of Khajesh Yadav
13. Khajesh Prasad Yadav Son of Mohan Lal Yadav.
14. Kamla Prasad Yadav, Son of Sadar Lal Yadav,
Serial No. 5 to 14 are resident of Village and Post Office- Maranga, Police
Station- Khazanchi Hatt, District- Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s :

For the Respondent/s :

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY



ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 19-12-2019 The appellant has prayed for the following relief:

“The present Letters Patent Appeal is being filed against the order dated 15.02.2018 passed by the learned single Judge in CWJC No. 656 of 1993 whereby and whereunder the writ petition filed for quashing the order dated 22.10.1992 passed by the Additional Member Board of Revenue Patna, the order dated 18.04.1990 and the order dated 25.07.1985 passed by the Additional Collector and the Deputy Collector Land Reforms as contained in Annexure-1, 2, 2/A, 3, and 3/A in the appeal and the proceeding for preemption under Section 16(3) of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area & Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961, has been dismissed.

Despite repeated calls, none has appeared on behalf of the appellants.

Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

