

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2839 of 2017

In
FIRST APPEAL No.185 of 2011

-
-
1. Haribansh Singh
 2. Ravindra Kumar Singh,
Sons of Late Ajit Singh, Both resident of Village- Gumati, P.S.- Rajapakar,
P.O.- Bishunpur Titare, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Jai Mangal Thakur Son of Late Shivlal Thakur, Resident of Village-
Gumati, P.S.- Rajapakar, P.O.- Bishunpur Titare, District- Vaishali
2. Chandrashekhar Chaudhary, Son of Late Yugut Chaudhary,
3. Smt. Kaliya Devi, Wife of Ramdayal Thakur, D/o Late Sonelal Thakur,
Present resident of Village- Barahthiya, P.S.P.O.- Belsar, District- Vaishali.
4. Vijay Kumar Sharma,
5. Ajay Kumar Sharma,
Sons of Rakesh Sharma, Both resident of Village- Senduari, P.S.- Hajipur,
P.O.- Sinduari, District- Vaishali.
6. Surendra Prasad Singh @ Suresh Singh, Son of Late Ram Anup Singh,
Resident of Village- Gumati, P.S.- Rajapakar, P.O.- Bishunpur Titare,
District- Vaishali
7. Most. Shanti Devi, Wife of Late Nand Lal Chaudhary, Resident of Village-
Phuhar, P.S.- Raja Pakar, District- Vaishali at Hajipur.
8. Ganesh Paswan,
9. Mahesh Paswan,
10. Ramesh Paswan, Sons of Late Maya Paswan, Residents of Village- Gumati,
P.S.- Rajapakar, District- Vaishali. Respondent no.6 to 10 have newly added
respondents.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Ranjan Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-07-2019 Heard learned counsel appearing for the applicants on
restoration petition filed on behalf of the applicants for



restoration of F.A. No. 185 of 2011 to its original number as the aforesaid F.A. No. 185 of 2011 stood dismissed due to non-compliance of order dated 3.4.2017 in time.

Learned counsel appearing for applicants submits that *vide* order dated 3.4.2017, the applicants were directed to file necessary requisites for issuance of notices to newly added respondents within four weeks from 3.4.2017 but, unfortunately, the notices could not be filed within the time as framed by this Court. It is pointed out that the notices were filed two days after expiry of period as fixed by this Court.

In view of the aforesaid fact as well as submission, this petition is allowed and, accordingly, F.A. No. 185 of 2011 is restored to its original number and the office is directed to proceed in F.A. No. 185 of 2011 in accordance with order dated 3.4.2017.

In the aforesaid manner, this petition stands disposed of.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

Spd/-

U			
---	--	--	--

