

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3139 of 2019

=====

Kumar Ranvijay Singh S/o Late Ram Anugrah Singh, resident of Village-
Amarua, P.S.- Barachatti, District- Gaya. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Excise, Prohibition and Registration Bihar, Patna.
2. The Joint Commissioner of Excise, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Commissioner Excise, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The Assistant Commissioner of Excise, Gaya.
5. The Sub Inspector of Excise, Gaya.
6. The General Manager, District Industries Centre, Gaya.
7. The Chairman cum-Managing Director, Bihar State Financial Corporation Limited, Patna.
8. The Assistant General Manager, Appraisal Group, Bihar State Financial Corporation Limited, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar,
Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Lalit Kishore (Ag)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 24-06-2019

Heard Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Vishwa Bibhuti Kumar, learned AC to AG and Mr. Rajnandan Prasad, learned counsel for the Bihar State Financial Corporation.

The petitioner prays for compensation of Rs. 50 lac on the ground that he has been subjected to malicious prosecution by the State by registration of Excise Case no. 01 of 1991 which



ultimately resulted in his acquittal. It is making complaint of suffering mental and physical agony by the petitioner while defending himself in the case, which has led to filing of the present writ petition.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that the relief prayed in the writ petition is not based on any statutory provision rather it is complaining of pain, suffering and harassment that a prayer for payment of compensation is made before this Court, we are not persuaded to grant indulgence to the prayer made by the petitioner but would allow him to take recourse to such civil or criminal law remedy that may be available to him in law.

With liberty aforementioned, we dispose of the writ petition.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Sushma/Prakash

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	27.6.19
Transmission Date	NA

