

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3024 of 2017

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12435 of 2016

=====

Umesh Prasad Chourasia, Son of Late Mahtab Bhagat, resident of Village-
Kamalpur, P.S. + District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Collector, Khagaria.
2. Jay Singh, the Collector, Khagaria.
3. Md. Abdul Bhawab Ansari, the Deputy Development Commissioner,
Khagaria.
4. Kishore Kumar, the Executive Engineer (Rural Development Department)
Work Division, Khagaria.
5. Ajeet Kumar Roshan, the Block Development Officer, Alouli Block,
District- Khagaria.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

with

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3025 of 2017

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 12348 of 2016

=====

1. Nisha Devi, Wife of Hiralal Prasad.
2. Hiralal Prasad, Son of Late Rameshwar Prasad.
Both are resident of Village- Mathurapur, Tola- Kamalpur, P.S. Khagaria,
District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Collector, Khagaria.
2. Jay Singh, the Collector, Khagaria.
3. Md. Abdul Bhawab Ansari, the Deputy Development Commissioner,
Khagaria.
4. Kishore Kumar, the Executive Engineer (Rural Development Department)
Work Division, Khagaria.
5. Ajeet Kumar Roshan, the Block Development Officer, Alouli Block,
District- Khagaria.



... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3024 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandan Kumar Kashyap, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG 12

(In Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 3025 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandan Kumar Kashyap, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Asif Kalim, AC to AAG 12

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 18-11-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned AC
to AAG 12 for the State.

2. An affidavit has been filed on behalf of the authorities
in both the cases in which materials have been brought on record
to show payment for the land of the petitioners taken for
constructing the road has been made and perpetual lease of
agreement has been entered into on 16.11.2019.

3. Such fact is not controverted by learned counsel for
the petitioners.

4. In view thereof, nothing further remains in the matter
and, accordingly, the applications stand disposed off.



5. However on merits, with regard to the area acquired and the quantum, it shall be open to the petitioners to move before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

