

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2305 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2016 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

=====

Veera Devi wife of Late Brij Nath Singh, Resident of Village- Fatehpur, P.S.-
Raghopur, District- Vaishali at Hajipur. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The Senior S.P., Patna.
 3. The I.O. of the Fatuha P.S. Case No. 56/2017. Respondent/s
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Y.V.Giri, Sr. Advocate
: Mr.Sunil Kumar Pathak, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Dr. Mankeshwar Tiwari, AC to AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 23-07-2019

Heard Mr. Y.V.Giri, learned senior counsel
appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Dr. Mankeshwar Tiwari,
Assistant Counsel to learned Additional Advocate General-3 for
the State.

2. This application has been filed by the petitioner
for issuance of direction to the respondents to produce charge-
sheet witnesses for their deposition in court and conclude the trial
without any delay for the ends of justice.

3. Mr. Y.V.Giri, learned senior counsel appearing
for the petitioner submitted that the FIR of Fatuha P.S. Case No. 56
of 2016 was registered on 05.02.2016 for the offence *inter alia*
under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. On completion of
investigation, charge-sheet was submitted on 14.05.2016. After
much delay, the case was committed to the Court of Sessions on



25.02.2017. Since then, the accused persons have adopted dilatory tactics. They filed numerous petitions for discharge one after another and after dismissal of those applications, ultimately, charges have been framed on 18.07.2019. He contended that the case involves accused persons, who have great clout and are capable to manage the prosecuting agency and further delay the completion of trial.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submitted that the apprehension of the petitioner is baseless. The State would prosecute the case with due sincerity. It would produce witnesses in the court on the dates so fixed by the court.

5. Be that as it may, since the charges have already been framed and the trial is in progress, I dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the trial court to hold the trial expeditiously and dispose of the same without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties. The State shall also produce its witnesses promptly and co-operate in bringing the case to its logical end.

Md. S/-

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.07.2019
Transmission Date	25.07.2019

