

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19034 of 2018

1. Archana Sinha D/o Bijay Chandra Sinha, resident of Village- Khanjarpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
2. Subodh Kumar, son of Ranjit Raut, resident of Village- Kateli, P.S. Banka, District- Banka.
3. Meena Devi, wife of Pankaj Kumar Ranjan, resident of Village- Babu Tola, P.S. and District- Banka.
4. Md. Alamgir, son of Md. Jahiruddin, resident of Village- Mesadih, P.S. Rajoun, District- Banka.
5. Manoj Kumar Yadav, son of Ganesh Yadav, resident of Village- Falshahiya, P.S. Dhoraiya, District- Banka.
6. Sachidanand Sharma, son of Satya Narayan Sharma, resident of Village- Kishanpur, P.S. Rajoun, District- Banka.
7. Md. Jahangir Alam, son of Md. Jahiruddin, resident of Village- Mesadih, P.S. Rajoun, District- Banka.
8. Amit Kumar Anand, son of Sikander Yadav, resident of Village- Jhirwa, P.S. and District- Banka.
9. Chandra Kant Choudhary, son of Sahdeo Choudhary, resident of Village- Parghari, P.S. Rajoun, District- Banka.
10. Sanjiv Kumar, son of Ramanandan Singh, resident of Village- Makrodha, P.S. Rajoun, District- Banka.
11. Pankaj Kumar Yadav, son of Panchanand, resident of Village- Bijaynagar, P.S. and District- Banka.
12. Renu Kumari @ Renu Devi, wife of Ashok Kumar, resident of Village- Aliganj, P.S. and District- Banka.
13. Raj Kishore Rai, son of Awadh Kishore Rai, resident of Village- Gopalpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
14. Suman Devi, daughter of Thakur Sharma, resident of Village- Bhikhanpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
15. Rani Kumari, D/o Awadh Kishore Rai, resident of Village- Gopalpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
16. Beena Rai, D/o Moti Mishra, resident of Village- Gopalpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
17. Amar Kumar Sinha, son of Bijay Chandra Sinha, resident of Village- Khanjarpur, P.S. Amarpur, District- Banka.
18. Bidhan Chandra Ghosh, son of Tribhuwan Prasad Ghosh, resident of Village- Danra, P.S. and District- Banka.
19. Shambhu Jha, son of Awadh Kishore Jha, resident of Village- Pokharia South Tola, P.S. and District- Banka.
20. Sanju Das, wife of Apurwa Kumar Das, resident of Village- Lahathi, P.S. Pathargama, District- Godda at present village- Dara, P.S. and District- Banka.



21. Shushila Devi, W/o Binod Kumar Datta, resident of Centre Kadma Tanr, P.S.- Katoria, District- Banka.
22. Dilip Kumar Yadav, son of Arbind Yadav, resident of Village- Jagatpur, P.S. and District- Banka.
23. Balmukund Jha, son of Jyotish Jha, resident of Village- Lakri Koyala, P.S. and District- Banka.
24. Sanjay Kumar Ghosh, son of Kanhaiya Lal Ghosh, resident of Babutola, P.S. and District- Banka.
25. Sanjay Lal Yadav, son of Sant Lal Yadav, resident of Village- Jhirwa, P.S. and District- Banka.
26. Santosh Kumar son of Parmanand Choudhary, resident of Village- Barahat, P.S. Barahat, District- Banka.
27. Rabindra Anand, son of Ganori Prasad Sah, resident of Village- Parghari, P.S. and District- Banka.
28. Indu Kumari, wife of Subod Kumar, resident of Village- Kharhara, P.S. and District- Banka.
29. Uday Kumar Sinha, son of Akhil Kumar Sinha, resident of Village- Tapadih, P.S. and District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms, State of Bihar, Patna.
5. District Mass Education Officer, Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mritunjay Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Madanjeet Kumar -GP 20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2019 The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that

the case of the petitioners should be considered in the light of

judgment and order passed in the case of State of Bihar and



others vs. Harendra Mishra and others in L.P.A. No. 1047 of 2017 arising from C.W.J.C. No. 384 of 2017.

In view of such submission, the competent authority will examine the matter as to whether fact, law and relief sought for in the present case, is identical to the aforesaid case. If that be so, the petitioners will be entitled to the identical relief as has been given to petitioners of that case, subject to outcome of the review as has been stated by the State in Civil Review No. 59 of 2018.

With the above observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

