

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10323 of 2019

=====

Vinay Kumar Mishra Son of Shri Shatrusudan Mishra at Sangrampur, P.S.-
Sangrampur, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector Motihari
3. Assistant Excise Commissioner Motihari.
4. Superintendent of Excise Motihari.
5. The Superintendent of Police East Champaran at Motihari.
6. The Block Development Officer Kotwa Motihari.
7. The Officer-In- Charge Kotwa P.S. Motihari.
8. The Circle Officer Kotwa, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY)

Date : 06-08-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is owner of Bus and prays for provisional
release of his vehicle bearing registration No. UP53DT2070
which has been seized in connection with Excise Case No. 278 of
2019 for the offences punishable under section 30(a), 41(1) and 32
of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.



It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is lying under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list supports the seizure of the vehicle and 27.5 litres of beer.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.



(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be prepared by the designated court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above, which would however be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding, if any.

With the observations above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

sushma/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.8.2019
Transmission Date	NA

