

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.859 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11794 of 2013

-
1. Rajendra Ram and Ors Son Of Late Devdutt Ram Resident Of Gram-Hasanchak Bangra, Post- Purushottampur, P.S.- Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur
 2. Sonelal Ray Son Of Late Hari Ray Resident Of Village- Madhaul, Post-Susta, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur
 3. Baijnath Pandey Son Of Late Amir Pandey Resident Of Village- Madhaul, Post- Susta, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur
 4. Anjani Kumar Mandal Son Of Shri Bisheshwar Mandal Resident Of Village-Samar, P.O.- Mahisatha, P.S.- Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi
 5. Paguni Ray Son Of Late Jogeshwar Ray Resident Of Village- Madhaul, Post- Susta, P.S.- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Dr. Dharamendra Singh Gangwar Principal Secretary Education Department Bi
2. Dr. Pandit Prabhakar Palande, Vice Chancellor, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur
3. Dr. Ratnesh Mishra, Registrar, B.R. Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur
4. Dr. Surendra Sinha, Principal, R.D.S. College, Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhinav Srivastava

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, AC to GP-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

8 27-02-2019 Having heard the parties and after gone through the show-cause filed on behalf of the opposite parties No. 2 and 3, and having regard to the scope of contempt jurisdiction, particularly, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Union of India and others Vs. Subedar Devassy PV, reported in (2006) 1 SCC 613 reiterated in the case of Sudhir



Vaudeva, Chairman and MD, Natural Gas Corporation Limited and Others Vs. M George Ravishekar and Others reported in (2014) 3 SCC 373, the Court is not inclined to widen the scope of contempt jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the present proceeding is dropped and Contempt petition stands disposed of.

However, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to challenge the decision taken by the opposite parties, if petitioners are aggrieved by such decision of the University in accordance with law before appropriate Forum/Court.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

T.Kr./-

U			
---	--	--	--

