

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10186 of 2019

=====

Rina Kumari Daughter of Chhote Lal Gupta Resident of Mohalla- Care
Niranjan Medical Hall, M.P. Bagh, C.k. road, Ara, P.o- Ara (Town), P.s- Ara
(Town), Distt- Bhojpur (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Industry Department,
government of Bihar Patna.
2. The Development Commissioner Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar State Financial Corporation Fraser Road, Patna Through its
Managing Director.
4. The Managing Director, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser Road,
Patna.
5. The Deputy Manager (P and A), Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser
Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sumit Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Rajiv Roy (Gpl)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 05-09-2019 Heard counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the
respondents-Corporation.

Relying upon the decision of the Apex Court in the case of
Senior Superintendent, R.M.S. Cochin and another vs. K.V. Gopinath
reported in (1973) 3 Supreme Court Cases 867, it is submitted by
counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner could not have been
removed without one month prior notice or salary for one month as
contemplated under the contract forming the basis of contractual
engagement for a period of one year. Agreement is dated 06.12.2018.
Clause 6 specifically mentions which reads as follows:-



“That accordingly, the Second Party may also terminate the contract of the First Party by giving one month notice or one month remuneration in lieu thereof”.

Respondents realized that services of the petitioner were not required and firstly resorted to discontinue services of the petitioner vide internal memo dated 10.04.2019 which is annexure 4.

Since the same did not give one month notice or one month remuneration in lieu thereof, respondents realized their mistake and came out with the order which is annexure 5 granting one month notice to the petitioner with effect from 10.04.2019.

Submission of counsel for the petitioner is that in attendance register, authorities have entered a note that petitioner has been dismissed on 11.04.2019 is immaterial.

In the opinion of this court, respondents have complied with the terms which form basis of requirement of the petitioner in view of office order dated 10.04.2019 (Annexure 5).

Writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

s.hassan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

