

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1706 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16890 of 2016

=====

Lal Muni Devi @ Lal Muni Kuar W/o Late Bindeshwar Mishra resident of
village - Bagahi, Ward No. 1, P.O. Banahi, P.S. Bihiya, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, through Sri R. K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary Education Department, Patna
2. Sri Ajay Kumar Chaudhary, the Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Raghu Bansh Kumar, the Regional Deputy Director of Education, Patna Division.
4. Sri Surendra Kumar Sudhanshu, the District Education Officer, Bhojpur, Arrah.
5. Sri Krishna Pandey, the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
6. Sri Satyendra Kumar Singh, the Treasury Officer, Bhojpur, Arrah.
7. Sri Ram Govind Singh, the District Programme Officer Establishment, Bhojpur, Arrah.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Sunil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey - AAG15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 03-07-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
State that pursuant to the order passed by this Court on 03.02.2017



in CWJC No. 16890 of 2016, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Bhojpur, Arrah has already examined the claim of the petitioner Lal Muni Devi @ Lal Muni Kuar and finding that she is not the wife of late Bindeshwar Mishra rejected her representation vide order dated 30.06.2019.

3. A copy of the show-cause filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 4 and 7 has already been served to the learned counsel for the petitioner and the show-cause is likely to be filed before the registry by tomorrow.

4. After going through the contents of the show-cause filed on behalf of respondent nos. 4 and 7, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that since the representation of the petitioner has already been disposed of, the contempt application be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 30.06.2019 passed by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Bhojpur Arrah by way of filing an application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. In view of the submission made above, since no case for initiation of a contempt proceeding against opposite party, particularly opposite party no. 7 District Programme Officer (Establishment), Bhojpur Arrah is made out, the application is



dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order
whereby her claim has been rejected by respondent no. 7.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	10.07.2019
Transmission Date	NA

