

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80648 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2014 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Sheohar

Prem Ranjan Pandey S/o- Ram Janam Pandey, Resident of Village - Dekuli
Dharampur, P.S.- Piprahi, District- Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Ratan Lal Sah, S/o- Late Sitaram Sah, Resident of Village - Mirjapur, P.S.-
Patahin, District- East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-04-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Complaint Case No. C-1/63 of 2014, disclosing offences under Sections 420, 468/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner and one Chhotan Rai approached the complainant, who was desirous to purchase a land and given Rs.3,75,000/- to the petitioner in the year 2014 for preparing an agreement, but thereafter on one plea or another, the petitioner is not executing the sale-deed and even not returning the money.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that he has not taken money, rather one Chhotan Rai has taken the money and as a matter of fact the complainant has not paid



the total amount, as such the sale-deed was not executed.

Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the opposite party no.2, who has drawn my attention towards the impugned order that Chhotan Rai has been granted provisional bail for a period of three months with the condition that within that period, he shall execute the sale-deed, but he is executing the sale-deed and not returning the money.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Amjad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

