

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1709 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6336 of 2014

-
-
1. Sharda Devi, wife of Late Kiran Singh resident of village - Chak Mohammad, P.O. and P.S. - Desari, District - Vaishali.
 2. Shambhu Singh son of Sheodhar Singh resident of village, P.O. and P.S. - Desari, District - Vaishali.
 3. Butan Choudhary @ Barun Choudhary son of Late Bishun Choudhary resident of village - Majhauri, P.O. and P.S. - Bidupur, District - Vaishali.
 4. Birendra Kumar wife of Late Rajdeo Rai resident of village and P.O. Murtuzapur, P.S. - Jandaha, District - Vaishali.

... .. Appellants/Respondents

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur through its Vice Chancellor.
6. The Registrar, Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Principal-in-charge, Beer Chand Patel Smarak BPS College, Desari, Vaishali.
8. The Secretary, Governing Body of BPS College Desari, District - Vaishali.
9. Mithilesh Kumar son of Sri Harendra Rai resident of village Chak Jainab, P.O. Chak Jamal, P.S. Bidupur, District Vaishali.
10. Lallan Prasad son of Late Devendra Rai resident of village Milki Kakarhta, P.O. Dhobauri, P.S. Bidupur, District Vaishali.
11. The Director General of Vigilance, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Resppondents/Respondents

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey -AAG15
For the University	:	Mr. Anil Singh, Advocate.
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. S. Prasad, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA



ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH)

6 22-11-2019 The appellants have challenged the order dated 08.11.2017 passed by the learned single Judge in CWJC No. 6336 of 2014.

2. On query, learned counsel for the appellants failed to satisfy us as to how the impugned order passed by the learned single Judge in any manner adversely affects the appellants herein.

3. In that view of the matter, we see no merit in the present Letters Patent Appeal. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. However, if appellants have any grievance relating to inter-se seniority, they will have liberty to pursue their remedy before any forum in accordance with law.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

