

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30106 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2019 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

=====

ALOK CHANDRA S/O Sri Harendra Prasad Srivastava Resident of Flat
No.202, Usha Apartment, Patliputra, P.S.- Patliputra, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shrawan Kumar Saraf,Adv
Ms.Roona, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. J.K.Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged
under Sections 279, 304/34 IPC registered in connection with
Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 120 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated
merely because he happens to be the father of accused no. 1 on the
accusation that the petitioner had permitted his son to drive the
vehicle. It is submitted that even on the perusal of the FIR the
ingredients of the offences alleged are not made out. The petitioner is
working as Chief Manager of Punjab National Bank and was
discharging his official duties in his office at the time of occurrence.
The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or
surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of
communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be
released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand)
with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned
ACJM II, Danapur, Patna in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 120
of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2)



Cr.P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

- i. That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.
- ii. That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- iii. That the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- iv. The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

