

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14917 of 2016

=====

Gyanti Devi Wife of Sri Guljarilal Singh, resident of village and Post office
Purainiya, Police Station Kinjar, District Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through its Secretary, Human Resources Development
Department, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar,
Vikas Bhawn, Patna
3. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan,
Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Arwal
5. The District Education officer, Arwal
6. The District Programme officer Literacy , Arwal
7. The Block Education officer, Karpi Block, District Arwal
8. The Mukhia, Gram Panchayat Raj, Purainia Shekha Block Karpi, District
Arwal
9. The Mukhia - Cum - Chairman, Prerak Selection Committee, Purainia,
District Arwal
10. The Head Master, Utkramit Middle School, Purainia, Hindi Block Karpi,
Arwal - Cum - Member Secretar
11. Jai Ram Singh Son of Sri Shyam Narayan Singh, resident of village and Post
office Purainia, Police Station Kinjar, District Arwal

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-Aag15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

5 20-05-2019 The present writ petition has been filed challenging

the action of the respondents whereby and whereunder private

respondent No. 11 has wrongly been appointed in the Sakshar

Bharat Mission scheme and the petitioner has been wrongly

removed from the services.



The learned counsel for the respondents, referring to the counter affidavit filed by them, has submitted that as per letter dated 9.10.2018 issued by the Director, Mass Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, the Sakshar Bharat Mission Scheme has come to an end w.e.f. 1.4.2018 and the appointment letters of the Prerak and other employees working in the said project specifically states that once the said project/ scheme comes to an end, the services of the employees would also stand terminated automatically. It is further submitted that the said letter dated 9.10.2018 further mentions that the services of the employees of the said scheme has already stood terminated w.e.f. 1.4.2018.

Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondents, this Court is of the view that since the scheme itself has been wound up and the employees of the said scheme are also not in service, as is apparent from the letter dated 9.10.2018, no lis survives for adjudication in the present writ petition.

At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach this Court in case the said scheme subsists or is survived.



Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed
of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Tiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

