

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4126 of 2016

Arising out of

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.418 of 2015

=====

Sri Sunil Chandra Mishra, Son of Late Sri Bir Chandra Mishra, Resident of
Village- Dhakraji, P.O.-Dkakjari via. Benipatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary (Department of Energy), Government of Bihar, Patna, Bihar, namely Shri Prataya Amrit, Vidhut Bhavan, 3rd Bhavan, 3rd Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna, Bihar, namely Shri R. Lakshmanan, presently posted as Managing Director, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Patna Vidhut Bhavan, 3rd Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer (Commerce) NBPDC, Patna, Bihar, namely Shri Purushottam Prasad, Vidhut Bhavan, 3rd Bhavan, 3rd Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Assistant Engineer (Electric Supply Sub-D) Benipatti, Madhubani, namely Shri Sudhanshu Kumar;
5. The District Magistrate, Madhubani, Bihar, namely Shri Giriwar Dayal Singh.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prince Kumar Mishra and Mr. Vinay Mistry, Advocates
For the BPDCL	:	Mr. Vinay Kriti Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma and Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocates
For the State	:	AC to AAG 7

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-10-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, learned counsel for the North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as the 'Company').



2. In terms of the earlier order, the Managing Director of the Company is also present and has filed 2nd supplementary show cause. From the same, it transpires that the order dated 04.01.2016 passed in CWJC No. 418 of 2015, has been complied with.

3. The officer submitted that the earlier statement of facts was sent without his approval for which he had already issued show cause to the concerned persons and that as soon as the matter was brought to his notice, he has ensured compliance of the order. The same is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view thereof, the application is disposed off.

5. Personal appearance of the officer also stands dispensed with.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

