

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31790 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2018 Thana- RAJEPUR District- East Champaran

INDRA KISHORE @ INDRA KISHORE CHAUDHARY Son of Late
Kapileshwar Choudhary Resident of Village -Belwagaht, P.S.- Piprahi @
Pipradhi, Distt - Seohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Sharan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 06-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
counsel for the State .

The petitioner seeks bail in Rajepur P.S. case no. 199 of
2018 instituted for the offence under Sections 489B, 489 (c),
420,471,374 and 120B/34 of the IPC.

It is alleged that police on secret information reached
house of one Sheo Shankar Jha at village Madhuahan Brit and saw
one person was sitting on a cot in the *Veramda* of that house with a
bag. He tried to run away on seeing the police. He was apprehended
by the police and, on interrogation, he disclosed his name as Indra
Kishore Chaudhary (petitioner). The police recovered Rs. 18,900/-
of various denominations of counterfeit notes from his possession.
The apprehended accused also disclosed that he had brought same
notes from Sachchita Prasad Kushwaha of village Nekkema P.S.



Siwai Patti Dist. Muzaffarpur. The informant further alleged that, on query, petitioner disclosed that earlier two times also he carried the fake currency notes and delivered to Kalawati Devi @ Saroj Jha wife of Shoo Shankar Jha of village Madhuahan Brit P.S. Rajepur and had taken original note after deducting commission. He has come for the third time. He has further disclosed that fake note was given to him by one Sachchita Prasad Kushwaha and their two sons whose name he does not know.

In this manner, from the written report it is apparent that police apprehended the petitioner with huge quantity of counterfeit currency notes. From own statement of informant it appears that he had come for the third time to the house of Shoo Shankar Jha with counterfeit note. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial. The petitioner will be at liberty to renew prayer for bail after six months in the event no substantive progress is made in the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

