

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9150 of 2019

-
1. Sharda Bhagat, son of Budh Raj Bhagat resident of Village- Kishunpura, Police Station- Katiya, District- Gopalganj.
 2. Jai Shankar Prasad, son of Prem Ram resident of Mohalla- Gardanibagh, Bhikha Chak Canal, Naya Tola, Shiv Mandir, Police Station- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.
 3. Upendra Kumar Yadav, son of Lal Chandra Yadav resident of Village- Paharpur (Chhagur), Police Station- Kochaykot, District- Gopalganj.
 4. Mahendra Kumar, son of Late Dhanu Ram resident of Mohalla- Anand Bazar Math Road, Danapur Cantt., Police Station- Danapur, District- Patna.
 5. Jitendra Kumar, son of Shatrughan Ram resident of Village- Koshut, Police Station- Dhanarua, District- Patna.
 6. Rima Kumari, wife of Sunil Ram resident of Gardanibagh, Chakbinda Road No. 10, Panch Mandir, Police Station- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Manager, Bihar Secretariat Pantry, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Sr. Advocate Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anuradha Singh (Sc21)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioners have filed the present writ application for a
direction to the respondents to initiate process of regular
appointment.

Learned counsel for the respondents with reference to
paragraphs 11 and 12 of the counter affidavit submits that



process has already been initiated and as such, the present application has lost its utility.

Paragraphs 11 and 12 of the counter affidavit reads as under:

“11. That with regard to the averments made in para no.17 to 20 of the writ petition, it is submitted that answering department has already initiated process for regular appointment on the post of Group-D.

12. That in view of above mentioned submission and from the pleadings made in the petition under reply it manifests that the grievance of the petitioners stand redressed as process for regular appointment on the post of Group-D has already been initiated and is under process. Therefore, the instant writ petition has become infructuous.”

Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take the selection process to its logical end at the earliest, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

