

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30972 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2018 Thana- BIHPUR District- Bhagalpur

=====

PRAVIN SAH @ PRAVIN KUMAR SAH Son of Late Sudhir Sah Resident
of Village - Balha, P.S.- Bihpur (Bhawanipur), District - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Pd. Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA

ORAL ORDER

4 05-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Bihpur (Bhawanipur) P.S.
case no. 302 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Sections
420,489(B), 489(C), 489(D)/34 of the IPC and Sections 25(1-
b)a/26/35 of the Arms Act.

It is alleged in the written report that informant got
information that this petitioner along with Mangal Sah and Anmol
Sah were engaged in printing duplicate currency notes and used to
supply the same in the local market. It is further alleged that one of
the co-accused Mangal Sah was previously arrested for offence of
counterfeit currency notes and after taking bail he has again started
the same work. The informant reached at the place of occurrence and
on seeing the police the three persons started fleeing away. The
Chowkidar identified and stated the informant that they are the
miscreants. The police personal tried to apprehend them but, they



managed to run away. The informant searched the house of accused persons and seized 50 pieces printed papers, one printer of Epson Company, 52 pieces of 100-100 note, one bundle of Royal Executive bond pack paper, one bundle of open pack 101 in number, coin of 10 Rs. 70 pieces, 350 pieces of 10 Rs. 25 pieces of 20 Rupees, one country made iron katta have been recovered

Seizure list is part of the FIR from which it appears that several articles relating to printing of fake currency notes have been recovered from house of petitioner. The petitioner and other accused persons managed to run away. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to petitioner. The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

Petitioner is in custody since 8.9.2018.

Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and make efforts to conclude the same as early as possible preferably within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Petitioner may renew his prayer for bail in the event trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

