

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5373 of 2014

-
1. Prem Shankar Pandey Son Of Sh. Vishwas Nath Pandey Resident Of Village & Post - Barawat Pasraen, Police Station - Bettiah Mufassil, District - West Champaran
 2. Lakshaman Bharti Son Of Late Deonarayan Bharti Resident Of Village - Teluwa South, Police Station - Nautan, District - West Champaran
 3. Anil Kumar Yadav Son Of Late Paras Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village & Post - Machharganwa, Police Station - Kotawa, District - East Champaran
 4. Anup Kumar Son Of Sh. Kashilal Prasad Resident Of Village - Chhauraha, Post - Amawa Majhar, Police Station - Bettiah Mufassil, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Revenue & Land Reforms, Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department Of Personnel & Administrative Reforms Government Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Patna , Through It'S Chairman
4. The Secretary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Patna
5. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur
6. The District Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah
7. The Deputy Collector, Establishment, West Champaran, Bettiah
8. The Additional Collector (Revenue) West Champaran, Bettiah
9. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, West Champaran, Bettiah
10. The Sub - Divisional Police Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah
11. The Land Acquisition Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah
12. The Circle Officer, Bettiah, District - West Champaran, Bettiah
13. The Circle Officer, Nautan, District - West Champaran, Bettiah
14. The Circle Officer, Yogapatti, West Champaran, Bettiah

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Amar Nath Deo, SC 26
For the BSSC	:	Mr. Nishi Nath Ojha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-01-2019

Counsel for the petitioners, State as well as counsel for the Commission are present.

In view of the order dated 01.08.2016 passed in LPA No. 388 of 2014, counsel for the petitioners submits that the relief



prayed in the instant writ proceedings has become infructuous insofar as the advertisement in question is concerned.

Counsel for the State does not dispute or deny the said assertion.

Writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

