

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 9603 of 2019

1. Shiv Shankar Manjhi @ Shiv Shanker Manji, Son of Dharam Dev Manjhi residence of Village and P.O.- Harihans, Police Station- Hussainganj, District- Siwan.
2. Lalan Manjhi Son of Bharat Manjhi residence of Village and P.O.- Harihans, Police Station- Hussainganj, District- Siwan.
3. Vikash Kumar @ Vikas Kumar Son of Mishri Ray residence of Village- Barva Kala, P.O.- Basantpur, Police Station- Basantpur, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Home (Police) Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate -Cum- Chairman District Compassionate Appointment Committee, District- Siwan.
4. The Superintendent of Police District- Siwan.
5. The Assistant Superintendent of Police-cum- Sub Divisional Police Officer, Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.
6. The Senior Deputy Collector In Charge District General Branch Siwan, District- Siwan.
7. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Siwan Sadar, District- Siwan.
8. The Circle Officer, Hussainganj, District- Siwan.
9. The Circle Officer, Basantpur, District- Siwan.
10. The Station Head Officer, Police Station- Hussainganj, District- Siwan.
11. The Station Head Officer, Police Station- Basantpur, District- Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Prasad Yadav
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md.N.H.Khan (Sc1)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2019 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the judgment dated 28.01.2016 passed in CWJC No 7743 of 2015 (Nand Kishore Prasad & Others -Versus- The State of Bihar & Others) as also the judgment dated 05.07.2017 passed in CWJC



No 189 of 2017 (Jharu Mahto & Another -Versus- The State of Bihar & Others) and other analogous cases squarely cover the case of the present petitioners.

In that view of the matter, let the Authority concerned examine the facts of the present case and the facts of the aforesaid cases and if it is found that the present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid cases, the same benefit should also be extended to the present petitioners as has been given to Nand Kishore Prasad (supra) and Jharu Mahto (supra).

In view of the aforesaid submission, petitioner may approach the Authority concerned.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

