

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 2662 of 2012

1. Niraj Kumar, S/O Gajendra Pandey At Present Posted As Junior Lineman, Bihar State Electricity Board, Konarah Section, Tirhut Area Board, Hajipur, Distt.- Vaishali
2. Amit Kumar S/O Sri Umesh Prasad Sinha At Present Posted As Junior Lineman, Bhihar State Electricity, Phulwari Electric Sub Division, Section Neora, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar Rajya Vidyut Board, Bailey Road, Patna through its Secretary
2. Chairman, Bihar State Electricity Board, Bailey Road, Patna
3. Jt. Secretary Bihar State Electricity Board, Bailey Road, Patna
4. Bihar State Electricity Board Transmission Zone-I, Patna Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
5. Bihar State Electricity Board Transmission Zone II, Muzaffarpur Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
6. Bihar State Electricity Board Mithila Electricity Supply Area, Darbhanga Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
7. Bihar State Electricity Board Central Electricity Supply Area, Patna Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
8. Bihar State Electricity Board, Magadh Electric Supply Area Gaya Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
9. Bihar State Electricity Board Tirhut Electric Supply Area, Muzaffarpur Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
10. Bihar State Electricity Board Kosi Electric Supply Area, Saharsa Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
11. Bihar State Electricity Board, Bhagalpur Electric Supply Area Bhagalpur Through Its General Manager Cum Chief Engineer
12. State Of Bihar
13. Secretary, Deptt. Of Energy, Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	N O N E
For the B S E B	:	M/s Anand K Ojha, Ravindra Kr Singh, Advs
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Sushant Praveen, AC to SC IX

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

5 04-11-2019

It is submitted that existence of the petitioners was



contractual in nature. Their performance of contractual duties as Junior Line Men was not contemplated, as per agreement, to vest any right in their favour to claim regularization in the respondent-Organization. In fact, there was a clear stipulation to the contrary in their Engagement Letter. The petitioners have not raised any enforceable claim in the instant proceedings.

Since, none appears on behalf of the petitioners, without going into the merits of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent-Company, writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

