

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6158 of 2015

=====

Dinesh Thakur Son of Jagadish Thakur, Resident of village- Lalladpur
Pathahi, P.S.- Sadar, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Govt of Bihar Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director Jansiksha Bihar, Patna
4. The Regional Education Deputy Director, Muzaffarpur
5. The Commissioner, Muzaffarpur
6. The Collector, Muzaffarpur
7. The District Adult and Non-Formal Education Officer, Muzaffarpur
8. The Deputy Secretary to Govt. of Bihar, Department of Secondary Primary and Adult Education, Bihar, Patna
9. The Project Officer, Non-formal Education, Garkha Block, District- Saran
10. The Collector, Saran
11. The District Jan Siksha Padadhikari, Saran
12. The District Jan Siksha Padadhikari, Muzaffarpur
13. The District Education Officer, Katihar
14. The Block Education Officer, Kodha, Katihar
15. The Regional Education Deputy Director, Purnia Pramandal, Purnia

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ebrahim Kabir, Advocate Ms. Shruti Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. M Mahboob Khan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-03-2019

Attention of the Court has been drawn to office order
(Annexure 9 of the writ petition). It is contended that in the said
office order dated 15.01.2013 reference is made to letter dated
31.12.2013 bearing memo number 2889 issued by the Director,
Mass Education- cum- Joint Secretary, Bihar. As per letter dated



31.12.2012 decision on claim for payment for the period of retrenchment between 2001 to 2006 is pending before the Apex Court.

It is jointly submitted by the parties that the entitlement of the petitioner to claim benefits for the period 2001-2010 will be subject to the outcome of the proceedings pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this respect.

In view of the said situation, no order needs to be passed in the instant writ petition. If the decision of the Apex Court extends any benefit to the employees and petitioner is similarly situated, it is needless to say that the petitioner will get the same benefits.

Writ petition is disposed off.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Prakash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

