

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33310 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2015 Thana- PUNPUN District- Patna

SUNIL MANJHI Son of Gulab Manjhi Resident of Village - Bauk, P.S.-
Punpun, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Naresh Ray

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-07-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Punpun P. S. Case No.
203 of 2015 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 302 /34
of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner is husband of deceased.

In the written report, it is alleged that niece of the
informant was married with this petitioner. The informant got
information on 12.9.2015 at 5 AM that his niece has been
killed in her *sasural* by this petitioner and other family members
by administering poison and pressing her neck. Reason behind
the occurrence is that niece of informant had refused to give the
money which was deposited in her account.

Case diary has been received, wherein, the



postmortem report of deceased is available.

Learned counsel for State has submitted that witnesses in paragraph nos. 50 and 51 of the case diary have stated that prior to death of victim, she was assaulted by petitioner and other accused persons. In the postmortem report doctor has found several antemortem injuries and opined the cause of death due to aforesaid injuries over face, chin and other parts of the body.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that F.S.L. report is available at paragraph no. 123 of the case diary, wherein, it is mentioned that no poisonous substance was detected in the sample. This Court finds that non finding of poisonous substance supports the allegation that victim died due to assault which gets support from postmortem report. The doctor has found three injuries on her person.

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and make efforts to conclude the same as early as possible preferably within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.



Petitioner may renew his prayer for bail in the event
trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

