

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29669 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2019 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

Sunita Devi, aged about 47 years, (F), Wife of Shankar Rai, Resident of Village-Dhadhi, P.O-Dhadhi, P.S.-Nanpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr.Md. Jubair Ansari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-05-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 323, 325, 315, 498A, 379, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act registered in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 13 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated merely because she happens to be mother-in-law of the informant. It is submitted that in any event, the petitioner is residing separately with the husband of the informant and she has no concern with the day-to-day affairs of the informant and her husband. No specific overt act has been alleged against the petitioner, who claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 13 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. and with further conditions --



(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall be well represented in court on each and every date during trial, except as and when directed by the learned court to be physically present and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, her bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make herself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

BT/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

