

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27454 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2014 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District-
Nalanda

=====

NEERAJ KUMAR aged about 36 years, male, Son of Kishori Prasad
Resident of Mohalla- Gauragarh (Devi Asthan), P.S.- Bihar Sharif, District-
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 26-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 341, 342, 323, 498(A), 504/34 of the
Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Mahila P.S. Case
No. 72 of 2014.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated merely because he happens to be the brother-in-law
of the informant. The petitioner is posted as Engineer (Design) in
Tata Motor Company at Jamshedpur, Jharkhand and as such he
has no concern with day to day matter with the informant and
her husband. It is further submitted that in any event the injuries
are simple in nature. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 72 of 2014, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

