

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26976 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2018 Thana- BARHARA District- Bhojpur

Rajeev Ranjan Singh @ Golu Singh son of Sri Mahesh Singh, Resident of Village-Deorath, P.S-Barhara, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      14-08-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner who is in custody since 16.11.2018 has filed the instant application for grant of bail in connection with Barhara P.S. Case as No. 282 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the allegation in the FIR it is stated that the daughter of the informant, under threat and coercion was taken away by the petitioner. She was threatened that if she did not accompany the petitioner and did not marry him, her family members would be killed. It is further alleged that the petitioner has criminal antecedent and that even on earlier occasion he had taken away his daughter. It is submitted that his daughter returned on 11.07.2018 but subsequently on 13.07.2018 she committed suicide by taking poison.



It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in a number of cases, however, the allegation as levelled in the instant FIR are false and concocted. Even on earlier occasion, no case has been lodged by the informant against the petitioner as even from perusal of the instant FIR, it would transpire that although the deceased is said to have returned on 11.07.2018, however, she allegedly committed suicide on 13.07.2018 for which the FIR was registered on 14.07.2018 in the evening.

Learned counsel for the informant as also learned APP for the State opposed the application for bail submitting that the allegations against the petitioner are very serious in nature, this is not the first occurrence committed by the petitioner when the daughter of the informant ultimately commits suicide. It is further submitted that from perusal of the earlier cases lodged against the petitioner it would transpire that he is accused in offences of grave nature.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case especially the direct nature of allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The application for bail is rejected. However, taking into



consideration that the charge-sheet has already been submitted in the case under section 306/34 of the Indian Penal Code, if so advised the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months.

**(Partha Sarthy, J)**

Prakash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

