

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8188 of 2019

=====

Rangila Kumari, female, aged about 23 years, W/o Ravi Kumar Raushan,
Resident of Village-Sidhwaliya, P.S. Rajepur, Distt.-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, East Champaran.
4. The Child Development Programme Officer, Mehsi, East Champaran.
5. The Women Supervisor, Mehsi, East Champaran.
6. Sunita Devi, female, age not known, W/o Braj Kishor Prasad, Resident of Village-Sidhwaliya, P.O. Madhopurkesho, P.S. Rajepur, Distt.-East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Md.Raisul Haque (SC10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-05-2019

The petitioner and the private respondent No. 7
along with others applied for being considered on the post of
Anganbari Sevika at the concerned Anganbari Centre No.
191 under Gram Panchayat Raj – Mahmadpur, Majhauriya,



Block – Mehsi, in the district of East Champaran. In the merit list, the petitioner was placed at Serial No. 2 with 57.6% marks, whereas private respondent No. 7 has been placed at Serial No. 1 with 61.14% marks.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that private respondent No. 7 has wrongly been appointed on the post of Anganbari Sevika for the reasons that she does not hail from the area from where persons have to be selected and that the certificates furnished by her are forged and fabricated.

3. A complaint in that regard has been made by the petitioner before the District Programme Officer, East Champaran, Motihari, but the same has yet not been disposed off.

4. Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to file a fresh complaint before the District Programme Officer, East Champaran, Motihari within a period of four weeks from today, who, on receipt of such complaint and after hearing the necessary parties, viz., the petitioner, private respondent No. 7 and other stakeholders, shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a



further period of eight weeks thereafter.

5. If the petitioner still remains aggrieved, she will have the opportunity of challenging the aforesaid order of the District Programme Officer, East Champaran, Motihari before the District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.

6. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	18.05.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

