

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7035 of 2011

=====

Munna NO.2 @ Munna, S/O Late Nathuni Ram, Resident of Ambedkar
Nagar Colony, P.S. Sultanganj, Distt.-Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer -In-Chief, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Road Construction Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, National Highway Division, Road Construction Deptt., Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. Superintending Engineer, N.H. Road Construction Deptt., Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siya Ram Sahi, Advocate Md. Anisur Rahman, Advocate Mr. Md. Akram, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Kr. Jha GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 11-02-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner has entered into the service of the
Road Construction Department, National Highway Division, as
daily wager on 23.10.1983 and came in the work charge
establishment with effect from 08.06.1988. He has been
terminated from the service on 15.09.2010.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he
should be given the identical benefit as has been given to the



persons mentioned in letter dated 24.02.2015 (Annexure-6 to this writ petition), but it appears that this letter has been issued in pursuance of the order passed in C.W.J.C. No.15879 of 2005 (Annexure-7 to this writ petition). He further submits that the petitioner will file a representation before the authority concerned for redressal of his grievance.

Let the petitioner file a representation before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance. If such a representation is filed, the authority concerned will examine the facts mentioned in C.W.J.C. No.15879 of 2009 and the consequences arising from letter dated 24.02.2015 and take decision in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of filing of representation by the petitioner. It is clarified that this Court is not giving any opinion on the merit of the case.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	13.02.2019
Transmission Date	

