

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26147 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2018 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Rohtas

Sameer Sharma, Son of Shashi Kant Sharma, Resident of Village -
Khurmabad, P.S.- Chenari, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dimpal Sharma, Daughter of Bharat Kumar Sharma Resident of Village -
Malwar, P.S.- Shivsagar, District - Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-07-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in

connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 54 of 2018, disclosing

offences under Sections 498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code and

Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Case is under Section 498-A of IPC & Section 3/4 of
of Dowry Prohibition Act with an allegation of torture to the
opposite party no.2.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that the allegation is false and concocted and he is still ready to
keep her with full dignity and care.

Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 has also
appeared and submitted that she is also ready to reside with the



petitioner, if she is allowed to live with full dignity and care.

In such view of the matter, let the petitioner, above named, appear before the learned court below on 15.07.2019 and on that day the opposite party no.2 shall also remain present in the Court. Further if she is ready to go with him, he is to take her from the Court itself and keep her with full dignity and care, he will be released on provisional bail for a period of six months. During that period, the learned court below shall watch the conduct of both the parties and further directs that both the parties shall appear in the second week of each alternative month before the learned court below. Once the court below is satisfied with the conduct of both the parties, the court below either confirm the bail bonds of the petitioner or pass any other orders which may deem fit and proper, including cancelling the bail bonds of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sunil Shukla/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

