

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7975 of 2019

Viku Yadav, aged about 33 years (M), Son of Sri Yogendra Yadav, Resident of Mohalla-Mirza Toli, P.S.-Bettiah Town (Kalibag O.P.), District-West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, West Champaran, Bettiah.
3. The Superintendent of Police, West Champaran, Bettiah.
4. The Superintendent of Excise, West Champaran, Bettiah.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumar Manish (SC5)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 20-06-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the state.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the two Motorcycle, Bajaj Pulsor and Bajaj Discover motorcycles bearing Registration No. BR22AC-0269 and Registration No. BR22Q-2690, which has been seized in connection with Bettiah Town P.S. Case No. 858 of 2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 33, 35, 38 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is



lying under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 2.160 liters of IMFL from Bajaj Pulsor Motorcycle and 1.980 liters of IMFL from Bajaj Discover Motorcycle.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to



produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the designated Court below, wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release of the vehicle would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Nasimul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	27-06-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

