

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (DB) No.398 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2008 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

=====

GANPAT BAWARI

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Lakshman Lal Pandey

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay Mishra

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA)**

3 13-09-2019 At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the appellant informs that defect no. 6(3) and 6(4) have already been removed and so far as defect no. 6(11) is concerned, since the matter relates to Single Judge, there is no need of second copy of memo of appeal and, therefore, the aforesaid defect should be ignored. It is further contended that so far as remaining defects are concerned, the said defects shall be removed within course of the day.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, defect no. 6(11) is ignored. The office should verify as to whether defect no. 6(3) and 6(4) have been removed or not and furthermore, the appellant is permitted to remove the remaining defects within



course of the day.

List this appeal under appropriate heading after
removal of the defects.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shahzad/-

U			
---	--	--	--

