

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12799 of 2017

Sunil Kumar Son of Sri Baijnath Prasad, R/o Gajadhar Chak, Gola Road,
Danapur, Cant , P.S.- Danapur, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union Of India through Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Bank of India, a Body Corporate Constituted under the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970, having its Head Office at Star House, C-5”G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra East, Mumbai-400051 represented through its Managing Director.
3. The Branch Manager, Bank of India, Danapur Branch, Main Road, in front of Old Amarpali Talkies, Danapur, Patna.
4. The Presiding Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal, Karpuri Thakur Sadan, Rajeev Nagar, Patna.
5. The Recovery Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal, Karpuri Thakur Sadan, Rajeev Nagar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Resp. No.2&3	:	Mr. Nishi Nath Ojha, Advocate
For the Resp.No.1	:	Ms. Kanak Verma, C.G.C.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2019 Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that during pendency of the writ application a compromise has already been taken place between the parties, thus, the writ application has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the Bank is also present.

This Writ Application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

