

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1600 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2019 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

-
1. SUNIL KUMAR @ SUNIL KUMAR RAY Son of Manoj Ray Resident of Village- Bahadurpur, P.S- Mashrakh, District- Saran at Chhapra.
 2. Shatrudhan Ray @ Shatrudhan Kumar Yadav, Son of Baijnath Ray Resident of Village-Bahadurpur, P.S-Mashrakh, District-Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Tiwary

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Usha Kumari I

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-05-2019

Heard learned counsel for the appellants and
learned Spl. P.P. for the State.

The appellants seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Mashrakh P.S. Case No. 40 of 2019 registered under
Sections 341, 323, 354(B), 506 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 3(i) (r) (w) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled
Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act pending in the Court of
Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Saran at Chapra.

Submission of learned counsel for the appellants
is that the appellants are innocent and have falsely been
implicated in the present case due to village politics.

Learned Special P.P. appearing on behalf of the



State vehemently opposed the prayer of the appellants by contending that there is direct allegation of abuse and assault against the appellants in the first information report itself.

Having heard both the sides, the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to allow the prayer of the appellants for grant of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

shailendra/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

