

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24882 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2019 Thana- PASRAHA District- Khagaria

Roshan Yadav, Son Of Dhruv Yadav @ Dhruvdeo Yadav, Resident Of Village-
Sondiha, P.S.- Pasraha, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is in custody since 11.01.2019 in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No.01 of 2019, G.R. No.22 of 2019 registered for the offence under Sections 448, 341, 323, 307, 379, 427 and 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific overt act has been attributed to the petitioner and the allegation is general and omnibus in nature. It is submitted that the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected by the court below only on the ground that he has criminal antecedents. However, the petitioner has nothing to do with the present case and undertakes to co-operate in any further investigation and shall present himself as and when required during the trial.

Considering the aforementioned facts and



circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria, in connection with Pasraha P.S. Case No.01 of 2019, G.R. No.22 of 2019, subject to the following conditions:

(1) One of the bailors will be his own blood relative, preferably, father, mother, brother, sister and/or his wife.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of his failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--

