

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 5032 of 2016

=====

Lalit Ravidas @ Lalita Ram @ Lalit Kumar Ravidas, Son of Ram Raj Ram,
Resident of Naya Buxar, PS Buxar, Dist Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Home Commissioner, Department of Home, Bihar.
3. The Director General Of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Kishanganj
5. The Inspector General Of Police, Darbhanga Region, District Darbhanga.
6. The Deputy Director General of Police, Purnia Region, Purnia.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gp19- Rajesh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

4 07-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

The issue in the instant proceedings is an order of
dismissal. The petitioner was dismissed from the services as a
Constable in the Bihar Police in the district of Kishanganj.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner's appeal against the order of dismissal dated
09.03.2007 has not been disposed of by respondent No 5.

Learned State Counsel, referring to Annexures
brought on record by the petitioner in his supplementary
affidavit, submits that it is apparent from the same that the



appeal of the petitioner has been rejected on 04.10.2016. The issue, however, remains that a copy of the order passed by the Appellate Authority has not been made available to the petitioner, even if the submission of the learned State Counsel is accepted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if a copy of the order is made available, he would be in a position to assess the situation whether the same is to be assailed, or otherwise.

In view of the aforesaid situation, since no adjudication is required for the present, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No 5 to serve a copy of the order passed on the petitioner's appeal to the petitioner. The petitioner should approach respondent No 5 along with a copy of this order within four weeks.

It is expected that respondent No 5 would make available a copy of the order expeditiously without any undue delay and preferably within four weeks thereafter.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

