

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23163 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-715 Year-2018 Thana- AGAMKUAN District- Patna

-
1. Mukesh Kumar @ Mukesh Kumar Singh aged about 31 years, Male.
 2. Chhotu @ Roshan Kumar aged about 28 years, Male,
Both sons of Late Kishore Prasad Resident of 302 A, R.N. Villa Apartment
Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mamta Kumari W/o Rajesh Kumar, daughter of Jamun Prasad Yadav,
resident of Dwarikapuri, Road No. 1, Hanumannagar, P.S. - Agamkuan,
Kankarbagh, District – Patna – 800020.

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 22-07-2019 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners. Learned

A.P.P. for the State to whom brief has assigned is also not

present.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in
connection with Agamkuan P.S. Case No. 715/2018 registered
under Sections 498(A), 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code
and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the court of
learned A.C.J.M. - I, Patna City, Patna.

Order-sheet of this case shows that earlier the
petitioners were granted privilege of provisional anticipatory
bail by a learned coordinate Bench of this court vide order dated
12.04.2019. Thereafter, when the matter was listed on



13.06.2019, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today, once again no one has appeared. This court is however not willing to adjourn the matter and deems it just and proper to dispose it of on the basis of the materials available on the record.

It appears that petitioner no. 1 is the elder brother of the husband of opposite party no. 2. The opposite party no. 2 was earlier noticed by this court but she has chosen not to enter appearance in this case. Petitioner no. 1 is the elder brother whereas petitioner no. 2 is younger brother of the husband of opposite party no. 2. There are allegations that immediately after the marriage in the year 2017 the husband of opposite party no. 2 and his family members were demanding dowry of Rs. 5,00,000/- and they were also indulging in beating of the informant. In the F.I.R. she has made specific allegation against her husband that on 19.09.2018 he had assaulted her and had taken her signature on a blank-sheet of paper.

So far as these petitioners are concerned, there is no specific allegation against these petitioners. Earlier they have been granted privilege of provisional anticipatory bail by learned coordinate Bench of this court and this court thinks it just and proper to confirm the provisional anticipatory bail.



Let the provisional anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 12.04.2019 be confirmed on the same bail bonds which have been submitted by the petitioners.

This application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

