

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8195 of 2019

=====

Archana Kumari, aged about 24 years, female, Wife of Punydeo Yadav,
Resident of Village- Supaina, Ward No. 19, P.S.- Bathnaha, District-
Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Child Development and Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development and Social Welfare Department, Indira Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
4. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
5. The District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Digghi, Block- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.
8. Smt. Indu Devi, Wife of Lalbabu Bhagat, Resident of Village- Supaina, Ward No. 19, P.S.- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Md. Raisul Haque (SC-10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-05-2019

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment
of private respondent No. 8 on the post of Anganbari Sevika



in the concerned Anganbari Centre.

2. A complaint though has been made by the petitioner before the District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi on 03.09.2018, but no action has yet been taken in that regard. The District Programme Officer is under an obligation to dispose off the complaint with regard to appointment of undeserving persons on the post of Anganbari Sevika/Sahayika.

3. Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh complaint before the District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi (respondent No. 5) within a period of four weeks from today, who, on receipt of such complaint, after hearing the necessary parties, viz., the petitioner, private respondent No. 8 and other stakeholders, shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of ten weeks thereafter.

4. If the petitioner remains dissatisfied with the order of the District Programme Officer, Sitamahri, she will have the option of appealing before before the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.



5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	17.05.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

