

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23064 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-284 Year-2018 Thana- BYPASS District- Patna
=====

ARJUN MAHTO aged about 20 years, male, Son of Mahendra Mahto
Resident of Village - Choti Pahari, P.S.- Agamkuan, Distt.- Patna. (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Indu Shekhar Dwivedi, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 12-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 457, 380, 411 of the Indian Penal Code
registered in connection with Bypass P.S. Case No. 284 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated merely on the extra judicial confessional statement of
co-accused Pradeep Kumar from whom recovery of three mobile
phones was made, except which there is no other material to
connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. No recovery
has been made from the possession of the petitioner. The
petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each
to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-
IV, Patna City, District- Patna in connection with Bypass P.S. Case



No. 284 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

