

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8911 of 2019

=====

Yadunandan Yadav Son of Vishnu Yadav Resident of Village-Shiv Nagar,
Madhopur, P.S-Tikari, Circle-Anchal, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Revenue and Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Pana.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya
3. The Dy. Collector, Land Reforms (DCLR), Gaya
4. The Circle Officer, Tikari, Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Babu

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Subash Chandra Yadav (Gp15)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2019 The petitioner is aggrieved by the notice issued

under the Bihar Public Land Encroachment Act.

The Court is of the considered view that the writ petition at the stage of notice is premature and as such not sustainable. However,liberty shall be available to the petitioner to file his reply in response to the notice and the reply of the petitioner shall be considered by the respondent-authority. While taking decision the respondents have to see that the petitioner need not be vexed for the same cause time and again and they are required to take appropriate decision in accordance with law following the principles of natural justice.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed

of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

