

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6962 of 2019

=====

Laldeo Kumar, aged about 34 years (M), Son of Sri Ramjee Bhagat, R/o Village-Rahimapur, P.S. Bidupur, District-Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Prohibition, Excise and Registration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur
3. The Superintendent of Excise, Muzaffarpur
4. The Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur
5. The Officer-in-Charge of Saraiya Police Station, District-Muzaffarpur
6. Investigating Officer, Saraiya P.S. Case No. 137/19, P.S. Saraiya, District-Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar,Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vivek Prasad (GP7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 16-05-2019

Leave is granted to the learned counsel for the petitioner to correction in the prayer portion of the writ petition during the course of the day.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the white Bolero vehicle bearing Registration No. BR-31P-8839, Engine No. GPD4E55261, Chasis No. MA1PL2GPKE5A83261, which has been seized in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No. 137 of 2019 for the



offences punishable under Sections 30 (a), 37 (b) (c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is lying under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 180 ml. of IMFL.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.



(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be got prepared by the designated Court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release of the vehicle would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	22.05.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

