

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CIVIL REVIEW No.119 of 2019

In

Letters Patent Appeal No.1326 of 2018

=====

Amar Anand, Gender-male, Age-31 years old, S/o Late Ashok Kumar Yadav
@ Ashok Kumar Haladhar resident of Village and P.O.- Pipra, P.S.
Banmankhi, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate Araria
3. The District Education Officer, Araria
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Araria
5. The Block Development Officer cum Member Secretary Block Teacher Employment Unit, Sikti, Distt.- Araria
6. The Block Education Officer Sikti, Distt.- Araria

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Priyadarshi Mathili Sharan, A.C. to AAG-15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 17-07-2019

Re: I.A. No. 1 of 2019



Heard Shri Amit Narayan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Priyadarshi Mathili Sharan, Assistant Counsel to Additional Advocate General No. 15 for the State.

2. The application is reported to be delayed by 2 months 24 days.

3. We have considered the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application and we find that sufficient cause has been shown to condone the delay in filing the review application. The delay is condoned and the review application shall be treated to be within time.

4. I.A. No. 1 of 2019 stands allowed accordingly.

Re: Civil Review No. 119 of 2019

Learned counsel for the applicant has moved this review application who was not the earlier counsel, nonetheless, we have heard him and we find that there is no error apparent on the face of record. The applicant contends that the advisories that have been referred in the subsequent judgments of this Court should also be read in favour of the applicant and appropriate directions be issued.

2. We are not inclined to entertain this application so as to find any error in our earlier judgment as it reflects a fresh cause of action without prejudice to the rights of the



applicant to approach the State Government about the same.

3. The Review Application is accordingly
consigned to records.

(Amreshwar Pratap Sahi, CJ)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	19.07.2019
Transmission Date	

