

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9955 of 2019**

=====

Munichand Prasad S/o Late Munshi Prasad Vill.- dhanraj Chhapra, P.O.-  
Saidabad, P.S.- Rani Talab, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Land Acquisition Directorate, Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner Patna division, Patna
5. The Collector Patna
6. The Additional Collector Patna
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer Patna
8. The Special Land Acquisition Officer Patna Flood Protection Project, Patna

... .. Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajib Ranjan Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mrs.Sanghmitra Ghosh, AC to GP-15

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      16-05-2019                      Following is the relief, which the petitioner is  
claiming :-

**“That this is an application for issuance of appropriate writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions, commanding the respondents to make payment of compensation at the revised rate to the petitioner in view of the acquisition of land under the provisions of Land Acquisition Act 1 of 1984 measuring an area of Plot No. 1435 area 0.03 dec., Plot No. 1403 area 0.085 dec. and Plot No. 1435 area 0.06 dec. acquired for construction of extension of Dhana-Pareb Channel situated in the Mauza-Lahladpur, P.s.-Bikram, District-Patna also which is agricultural land which also contains total 6 trees of Sheesham, Mahua and Mango trees whereas other persons have got enhanced rate**



**of compensation and or issuance of appropriate consequential writ or writs to pay panel as well as statutory interest thereon since land has been acquired in the year 2010 whereas compensation was paid in the year 2012 and for issuance of any other consequential writ or writs under the facts and circumstances of the case.”**

It is an admitted fact that the land was acquired in the year 2010 and the entire amount of compensation was paid in the year 2012 itself. I do not find plausible explanation on record to justify the delay of nearly seven years in approaching this Court seeking a direction for payment of amount of compensation at revised rate.

This application is devoid of any merit and is accordingly dismissed.

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)**

Pawan/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

