

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22956 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2018 Thana- SAKURABAD District-
Jehanabad

=====

JAY PRAKASH YADAV @ BADU YADAV @ JAY PRAKASH KUMAR YADAV ,
aged about 19 years, male, S/o Arvind Yadav R/o village- Kansua Tola
debra Per, P.S.- Shakura bad, Distt.- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nitya Nand Neeraj, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 11-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 147, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 of the Indian
Penal Code registered in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case
No. 34 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and the thrust of accusation of assault on the head of
the informant's daughter is against Kopendra Yadav and Arvind
Kumar. No overt act has been alleged against the petitioner
whatsoever. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP points out that the injury of informant
Sidheshwar Yadav has been found to be grievous in nature.

5. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case No. 34 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

