

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22426 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2016 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

Md. NESHLEUDDIN @ NESHLE @ SONU @ NESHLE MIYA @
NESHLEUDDIN Son of Rariz Khan @ Jafir Mistry @ Jafir At present
resident of C/O - Ashok Ram, Durga Sthan, Shekhpura More, P.S.- Airport,
District- Patna, Permanent resident of Village - Chand Patti, P.S.- Sursand,
District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 30-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. No one is
present on behalf of the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in
connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 139 of 2016 registered
under Sections 399, 402 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections 25(1-b), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act and Section 47 of
the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected on noticing that there are three cases against the
petitioner, he was apprehended when he was trying to escape
seeing the Police party and from his possession a country made
loaded pistol and two live cartridges were seized as also at his
instance certain looted articles were recovered from his house.



Now the report of the learned Special Judge, Excise, Patna has been received. It has been informed that the trial of the petitioner has been separated and charge has been framed against him. The conclusion of the trial is likely to take about ten months time.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not willing to enlarge the petitioner on bail let the trial of the case be expedited and be concluded preferably within a period of six months.

Public Prosecutor as well as the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna are directed to ensure that the witnesses are produced in the case on the date fixed in the matter and the trial court should not adjourn the matter giving longer date.

This application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

