

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20722 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2018 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

1. ASHUTOSH YADAV Son of Nandu Yadav Resident of Village - Prithvichak, P.S.- Sangrampur, Distt.- Munger.
2. Tippu Yadav Son of Late Chandsi Yadav Resident of Village - Prithvichak, P.S.- Sangrampur, Distt.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the State.

Petitioners, who are in custody, seek bail in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 146 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of Arms Act.

Allegation against petitioners is resorting to blank firing in order to terrorize the local residents. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of petitioners nor anyone has suffered any injury. Charges have already been framed in this case. Petitioner No. 1 is in custody since 27.08.2018 and petitioner No. 2 is remanded in this case on 16.08.2018.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge Ivth Munger, in connection with S.T. No. 350 of 2018 arising out of Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 146 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel their bail bonds.

(3) If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

